

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,580	07/11/2001	Gonzalo Wills	2500.370	4799
7.	590 09/13/2005		EXAMINER	
Hall, Priddy, Myers & Vande Sande			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L	
Suite 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10220 River Ro	oad		L AKI ONII	TAI ER NOMBER
Potomac, MD 20854			2872	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/901,580	WILLS ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner 571-271-2318	Art Unit					
	Joshua L. Pritchett	2872					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no 							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection.	The appropriate extension of the street of the street of the street on, even if timely filed, many fil	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed.	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bris	of will not be entered	hecause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			j tile issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	i to and 41.55(a)). 121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphane, anomania.	. (
	6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:	•						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apporty ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

*Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended claim limitations replace the term "or" with the term "and" in several instances. The new limitations require that both of the conditions be met whereas previously only one condition had to be met to satisfy the claim language. A new search is required to determine the patentability of the newly amended claim limitations.